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Where are we now?

- HERALD Stage 1 Report – March 2015
- BIAB Stage 1 Report – September 2015
- DES-OASIS Project Grant Application – Sept 2015 (from April 2016 if successful)
- HERALD Stage 2 Project Design inc. BIAB – November 2015
- OASIS Redevelopment 2016 onwards
What the survey told us - things to bear in mind

- Ongoing communication, engagement and training
- Flexible workflows
- Different levels of interaction
- Archiving and dissemination of reports
- Inclusion of Museum curators
- Record specialist data when appropriate
- Move focus from archaeology to historic environment
- Include simple to use import and export systems
Records remain ‘OPEN’ with alerts when core fields are completed

Bibliographic record & Report uploaded by HERs or contractors

Constitutes a basic HER record

Creates a rich metadata record for specialist / project specific recording
Work Package Tasks Completed

- Museums workshop has captured the needs of the community
- AMIE assessed for building terms
- Specialists within HE and beyond identified for consultation on historic building and landscapes recording
Will OASIS allow automated report upload?

Options:
1. Continue status quo with proxy sign-off from Historic England
2. Automated release (recommended option)

In option 2, the following are proposed:

1. Non-reviewing HERs - reports are released directly into BIAB (marked as un-reviewed)
2. HER which is reviewing records/reports - reports are released into BIAB once reviewed. If the reports are not reviewed within a period of time (i.e. one month) then they are released into BIAB (but marked as un-reviewed).

If so, what should the period be? How should these reports be ‘flagged’?
Work Package Questions Outstanding

- When should OASISLite be used and what are the minimum requirements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OASIS unique id</th>
<th>Site location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Details</td>
<td>Site name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project name</td>
<td>National grid reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short description of project</td>
<td>County, district, parish (generated from grid reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project dates</td>
<td>Report details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any associated project reference codes (HER id)</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of project - event type (Event type thesaurus)</td>
<td>Author(s)/Editor(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monument type (From thesaurus of monument types)</td>
<td>Report Number etc. (other bibliographic details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artefact (From FISH objects thesaurus)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Issuer or publisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archive location?</td>
<td>Place of issue or publication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Work Package Questions Outstanding

- Claiming HER-created records. Options for contractor record ‘fetch’

**Scenario 1:**
HER starts record
HER emails contractor with OASIS ID/HER no. *potential disconnect see issue 1 below*
Fieldworker logs into OASIS
Fieldworker enters OASIS id/HER No and claims record.

**Scenario 2:**
HER starts record
Fieldworker logs into OASIS
Fieldworker enters grid reference/location information for the project
Fieldworker is shown basic details of unclaimed records in that area *will potentially see location and type of competitors’ records see issue 2 below*
Fieldworker claims record
Work Package Questions Outstanding

• Historic Buildings and Structures
  • Should they have a separate ‘module’?
  • Does the proposed content cover the information required?

• Research Frameworks (England)
  • Wiki technologies and resource assessments
Stage 3?

- Data synchronisation
- Outreach for new audiences?
What’s next?

• Draft Project Design to the OASIS Management Board (22\textsuperscript{nd} October)
• Revisions as necessary
• Submission of HERALD Project Design to Historic England in late November.